## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Amber C., <sup>1</sup>	) C/A No.: 1:20-cv-2411-SVH
Plaintiff,	)
vs.	) ORDER
Andrew M. Saul,	) ONDER
Commissioner of Social Security	)
Administration,	)
Defendant.	)
	)

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's motion for attorney fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"). [ECF No. 22]. On April 13, 2021, the court granted the Commissioner's unopposed motion to reverse and remand the agency's final decision denying Plaintiff's claim for Disability Insurance Benefits under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). [ECF No. 20]. On May 14, 2021, Plaintiff filed this motion seeking \$3,534.38 in attorney fees and \$21.15 in expenses. [ECF No. 22]. The Commissioner subsequently filed a response indicating he did not object to Plaintiff's request. [ECF No. 23]. In the absence of an objection to Plaintiff's requested EAJA fee and in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d), the court grants the motion and directs the Commissioner to pay Plaintiff \$3,555.53. This payment shall constitute a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Committee on Court Administration and Case Management of the Judicial Conference of the United States has recommended that, due to significant privacy concerns in social security cases, federal courts should refer to claimants only by their first names and last initials.

1:20-cv-02411-SVH Date Filed 05/27/21 Entry Number 24 Page 2 of 2

complete release from and bar to any further claims that Plaintiff may have

under the EAJA to fees, costs, and expenses incurred in connection with

disputing the Commissioner's decision. This award is without prejudice to the

rights of Plaintiff's counsel to seek attorney fees under section 206(b) of the

Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 406(b), subject to the offset provisions of the

EAJA.

Under Astrue v. Ratliff, 560 U.S. 586, 595–97 (2010), EAJA fees

awarded by this court belong to Plaintiff and are subject to offset under the

Treasury Offset Program (31 U.S.C. § 3716(c)(3)(B) (2006)). Therefore, the

court orders the EAJA fee be paid to Plaintiff by payment to Plaintiff's

 $counsel.^2$ 

IT IS SO ORDERED.

May 27, 2021

Columbia, South Carolina

Shiva V. Hodges

United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Plaintiff's counsel may disburse these funds to satisfy valid liens or in accordance with a lawful assignment.